arXiv:1611.03364v1 [math.PR] 10 Nov 2016

PROBABILISTIC REPRESENTATION FORMULA FOR THE SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL HIGH ORDER HEAT-TYPE EQUATIONS

STEFANO BONACCORSI, MIRKO D'OVIDIO AND SONIA MAZZUCCHI

Abstract. We propose a probabilistic construction for the solution of a general class of fractional high order heat-type equations in the one-dimensional case, by using a sequence of random walks in the complex plane with a suitable scaling. A time change governed by a class of subordinated processes allows to handle the fractional part of the derivative in space. We first consider evolution equations with space fractional derivatives of any order, and later we show the extension to equations with time fractional derivative (in the sense of Caputo derivative) of order $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

1. Introduction

The connection between partial differential equations and stochastic processes, or, more generally, functional integration, is an extensively developed theory, which covers one-dimensional, finite dimensional and infinite dimensional problems. Since this paper is mainly devoted to the one-dimensional case, we shall specialise this introduction to such problems. The first and main example is the Feynman-Kac formula [33, 34] providing the solution of the Cauchy problem for the "heat equation with sink"

$$\partial_t u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u(t,x) + V(x)u(t,x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1}$$

in terms of a Wiener integral of the form

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u(0, x+W_t)e^{\int_0^t V(x+W_s)ds}\right],$$

where $W = (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denotes a 1-dimensional Wiener process.
In fact the connection between heat equation and Wiener process is just a particular case of a general theory connecting Markov processes with parabolic equations associated to second order elliptic operators (see [21, 25]). In the general, d-dimensional case, we are given a Lipschitz map $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \to L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to the $d \times d$ matrices, a Lipschitz vector field $b : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and a $d-$dimensional Wiener process $W = (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$, the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}Tr[\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x)\nabla^2 u(t,x)] + \langle b(x), \nabla u(t,x)\rangle + V(x)u(t,x) \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

is related with process $X^x = (X_t^x)_{t\geq 0}$ solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^x = b(X_t^x)dt + \sigma(X_t^x)dW_t, \\ X(0) = x, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

by the probabilistic representation formula:

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u(0, X_t^x)e^{\int_0^t V(X_s^x)ds}\right], \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{4}$$

Date: November 11, 2016.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35C15, 60G50, 60G20, 60F05.
Key words and phrases. Partial differential equations, probabilistic representation of solutions of PDEs, stochastic processes.

One possible extension of the heat equation occours if we replace the right-hand side of (1) by a spatial fractional derivative operator ∂_x^α, namely by a Fourier operator with symbol $\psi(y) = (-iy)^\alpha$, which leads to the equation

$$\partial_t u(t,x) = -\partial_x^\alpha u(t,x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{5}$$

Fractional powers of operators have been introduced in [17, 24] where the authors considered fractional powers of the Laplace operator. For a closed linear operator A, the fractional operator $(-A)^\alpha$ has been investigated by many researchers, the reader can consult the works [40, 8, 66, 39, 30] for example. Equation (5) is associated with a Lévy process $(H_t^\alpha)_{t\geq 0}$ called *α-stable subordinator* (see [14] and Appendix A). In particular the characteristic function of H_t^α has the form

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda H_t^\alpha}] = e^{-t\lambda^\alpha}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

hence the solution of the Cauchy problem associated to Eq. (5) is given by

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u(0, x + H_t^\alpha)\right]. \tag{6}$$

The generalization of these results to different types of PDEs which do not satisfy the maximum principle is in general not possible [20]. In particular a probabilistic representation of the form (4) or (6), giving the solution in terms of the expectation with respect to a *real* stochastic process with independent increments, cannot be proved in the case the second order elliptic operator on the right hand side of Eq. (2) is replaced by a differential operator of order $N > 2$, obtaining a high-order heat-type equation of the form

$$\partial_t u(t,x) = \frac{\beta}{N!}\partial_x^N u(t,x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{7}$$

where β is a real constant satisfying some conditions on the sign, while the $\frac{1}{N!}$-term is the analog of the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ for the heat equation. In fact this no-go result was stated originally by Krylov [42] in the case where $N = 4$ and is related to the non positivity of the solution $g \equiv g(t,x)$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g = \dfrac{1}{N!}\partial_x^N g \\ g(x,0) = \delta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

as well as the rather restricting conditions for the generalization of Kolmogorov existence theorem to the limit of a projective system of either *signed* or *complex* measures (see [68] for this result and [1] for a discussion of its implication in the construction of a probabilistic representation for the solution of high-order PDEs).

The problem of a probabilistic representation for the Cauchy problem associated to Eq. (7), namely *a generalized Feynman-Kac formula*, is extensively studied and different approaches have been proposed, in particular in the case $N = 4$. One of the first approaches was introduced by Krylov [42] and continued by Hochberg [31], who introduced a stochastic pseudo-process whose transition probability function, defined as the solution of (8), is not positive definite. The generalized Feynman-Kac formula is constructed in terms of the expectation with respect to a signed measure on $\mathbb{R}^{[0,t]}$ with infinite total variation. For this reason the integral on $\mathbb{R}^{[0,t]}$ is not defined in Lebesgue sense, but is meant as limit of finite dimensional cylindrical approximations [10]. It is worth mentioning the work by D. Levin and T. Lyons [45] on rough paths, conjecturing that the signed measure (with infinite total variation) associated to the pseudo-process could exist on the quotient space of equivalence classes of paths corresponding to different parametrization of the same path. Properties of the pseudo-process $X(t)$ associated with the signed measure $\mathbb{P}$, associated with the fundamental solution of (8) via the identity $\mathbb{P}_x(X(t) \in \mathrm{d}y) = p(t,x,\mathrm{d}y)$ were studied by several authors, in particular Hochberg [31], Orsingher [55, 32, 54], Lachal [43], Nishioka [56, 57]. It shall be noticed that, in the case $N = 4$, paths of $X(t)$ are not continuous.
A different approach was proposed by Funaki [26] and continued by Burdzy [18], based on the construction of a complex valued stochastic process with dependent increments, obtained by the composition of two independent Brownian motions.

Recently in [15] a new approach has been proposed. Starting from the weak convergence of the scaled random walk on the real line $S_n(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor}\xi_j$ to the Wiener process $B(t)$ (ξ_j for $j = 1, ..., n$ being independent identically distributed Bernoulli random variables such that $\mathbb{P}(\xi_j = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_j = -1) = 1/2$), the solution

of the heat equation can be written as the limit $u(t,x) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}[u(0, x + S_n(t))]$. This formula can be generalized to the case of Eq.(7) with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N > 2$, by constructing a sequence of complex random walks $\{W_n^{N,\beta}(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ as $W_n^{N,\beta}(t) := \frac{1}{n^{1/N}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \xi_j$, where ξ_j for $j = 1, ..., n$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed complex random variables uniformly distributed on the set of N-th roots of β. In fact, if $N > 2$, the particular scaling exponent $1/N$ appearing in the definition of $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$ does not allow the weak convergence of the sequence of random variables $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$. However, for a suitable class of analytical initial data $u_0 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, the limit of the expectation, namely $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[u_0(x + W_n^{N,\beta}(t))\right]$, exists and provides a probabilistic representation for the solution of Eq. (7). Properties of the random walks $W^{N,\beta}$ are further studied in [16], where a kind of Itô calculus is introduced, by the construction of the Itô integral and an Itô formula for the weak limit of these processes. A similar approach to pseudo-processes was introduced by Lachal [44], for N even: in that paper, the ξ_j's take values in the discrete set $\{-N/2, -N/2+1, \ldots, N/2-1, N/2\}$ with (positive or negative) probabilities $\mathbb{P}(\xi = k) = \delta_{k=0} + (-1)^{k-1}\binom{N}{k+N/2}$. He proves that with the same scaling exponent as ours, his sequence of pseudo-random walks converges to the pseudo-process associated with the signed measure $\mathbb{P}$ introduced before.

The aim of the present work is the generalization of these result to the case of higher order fractional derivatives, by replacing the order $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with the product $N\alpha$, with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. More precisely, we provide a probabilistic representation of the solution to a family of equations of the form

$$\partial_t u = -Au, \tag{9}$$

where $A : D(A) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a Fourier integral operator with symbol $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ either of the form $\Psi(y) = c(iy)^{N\alpha}$ or $\Psi(y) = c'|y|^{N\alpha}$, with $c, c' \in \mathbb{C}$ suitable constants. By subordinating the sequence of complex random walks $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$ associated to the N-th order equation (7) with a sequence of processes $\{S_m^\alpha(t)\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging weakly as $m \to +\infty$ to the α-stable process $H^\alpha(t)$, a sequence $\{X_{n,m}(t)\}_{(n,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2}$ of jump processes on the complex plane is defined as $X_{n,m}(t) := W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))$. It converges formally to an $N\alpha$-stable process in the sense that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{iyX_{n,m}(t)}\right] = e^{-t\left((-1)^{N+1} i^N \frac{\beta}{N!} y^N\right)^\alpha}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This result allows the representation of the solution to (9) with $\widehat{Au}(y) := \left(\frac{(-1)^{N+1} i^N \beta y^N}{N!}\right)^\alpha \hat{u}(y)$ ($\hat{}$ denoting the Fourier transform) as the limit

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[u_0\left(x + W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))\right)\right], \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{10}$$

for a suitable class of analytical initial data u_0. Moreover we show that in the case the symbol of the operator A has the form $\Psi(y) = |y|^{N\alpha}$, the solution of (9) can still be given by a formula

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[u_0\left(x + X_{n,m}(t) + \tilde{X}_{n,m}(t)\right)\right], \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $X_{n,m}(t)$ and $\tilde{X}_{n,m}(t)$ are two independent copies of the process $X_{n,m}(t) = W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))$ and $\tilde{X}_{n,m}(t) = W_n^{N,\beta'}(S_m^\alpha(t))$, constructed respectively by setting $\beta = N!$ and $\beta' = -N!$.

Similar results have been obtained in [60] by a different approach. The authors define a sequence of pseudo random walks, converging weakly to pseudo-processes stopped at stable subordinators. The fundamental solution of higher-order space-fractional heat type equations is obtained as the limit of the (signed) laws of the pseudo random walks, which are signed measure. Instead, in our approach the solution of the same equation is given in terms of the limit of expectations with respect to the probability laws of rather simple jump processes in the complex plane, without the need to introduce signed probabilities.

In the last section we also study time fractional equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha u(t,x) =& Au(t,x) \\ u(0,x) =& f(x), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $A = \frac{\beta}{N!}\partial_x^N$ and the time-fractional derivative $\boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha$ must be understood in the Caputo sense, proving that the solution of the associated initial value problem, for a suitable class of initial data f, can be given by

$$u(t,x) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[f(x + W_n^{N,\beta}(L_t^\alpha))\right], \tag{12}$$

where L_t^α is the inverse of the subordinator $H^\alpha(t)$.
Furthermore, we prove that problem (11) is equivalent to the diffusion equation with non-local forcing term of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t,x) &= A^{1/\alpha}u(t,x) + \sum_{k=1}^{1/\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k)} t^{\alpha k-1} A^k f(x) \\ u(0,x) &= f(x) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

in the sense that both problems share the same solution (12).

The fractional diffusions equations are related to the so-called fractional and anomalous diffusions, that is, diffusions in non-homogeneous media with random fractal structures, see for instance [47]. The term fractional is due to the replacement of standard derivatives with respect to time t with fractional derivatives and the corresponding equations describe delayed diffusions. However, we do not care about the geometrical structure of the medium and therefore our meaning of fractional diffusions is far from the definition of fractional diffusions introduced in [7]. Anomalous diffusion occurs when the mean square displacement (or time-dependent variance) is stretched by some index, in other words proportional to t^α for instance. In the literature, equation (11) is used as a mathematical model of a wide range of important physical phenomena, usually named *sub-* or *super-diffusions*, for instance in microelectronics (dielectrics and semiconductors), polymers, transport phenomena in complex systems and anomalous heat conduction in porous glasses and random media (see for instance [62, 50, 27, 28]).

Fractional diffusions equations as (11) where $N = 2$ have been investigated by several researchers. In [37, 53, 67] the authors established the mathematical foundations of fractional diffusions. In [67, 63] and later in [29, 23] the authors studied the solutions to the heat-type fractional diffusion equation and the corresponding representation of the solutions in terms of Fox's functions. The explicit representation of the solutions by means of stable densities have been studied in [11, 58] and, in the case $\alpha = 1/2^n$, Orsingher [58] proved the connection of this solution with the distribution of of n-iterated Brownian motion.
For a general operator A acting on space, several results can also be listed. Nigmatullin [53] gave a physical interpretation when A is the generator of a Markov process. Zaslavsky [69] introduced the fractional kinetic equation for Hamiltonian chaos. The problem concerning an infinitely divisible generator A on a finite dimensional space has been investigated in [4]. In general, a large class of fractional diffusions equations are solved by time-changed stochastic processes. We usually refer to such processes as stochastic solutions to the driving equations. Stochastic solutions to fractional diffusion equations can be realized through time change by inverse stable subordinators, see for example, [46, 58]. Indeed, for a guiding process X_t with generator A we have that $X_{H_t^\alpha}$ is governed by $\partial_t^\alpha u = Au$ where the process H_t^α, $t > 0$ is an inverse or hitting time process to a α-stable subordinator. The time-fractional derivative comes from the fact that $X_{H_t^\alpha}$ can be viewed as a scaling limit of continuous time random walk where the iid jumps are separated by iid power law waiting times (see [48, 49]). The interested reader can find a short survey on these results in [52]. Results on subordination principle for fractional evolution equations can be found in [17, 9].

Beside the interest in studying fractional equations, many researchers have concentrated their efforts toward the study of the higher-order counterpart (13) of fractional equations, see for example [2, 5, 19, 22, 35, 51]. When the underling operator generates a strongly continuous semigroup, the time-changed process can be considered in order to study the fractional diffusion equations and also, the higher-order equation with a non homogeneous term involving higher-order powers of the driving operator. The reader can consult Keyantuo and Lizama [35] and the references therein.

2. A sequence of random walks on the complex plane

The present section is devoted to the construction of a sequence of random walks in the complex plane whose limit can be interpreted in a very weak sense (see theorem 3) as an $N-$ stable stochastic process, with $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Let β be a complex number and $N \geq 2$ a given integer.
Let $R(N) = \{e^{2i\pi k/N},\ k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ denote the set of the $N-$ roots of the unity and let us consider the complex random variable $\xi_{N,\beta}$ that has uniform distribution on the set $\beta^{1/N} R(N)$, namely for any function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\xi_{N,\beta})] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(\beta^{1/N} e^{2i\pi k/N}). \tag{14}$$

The random variable $\xi_{N,\beta}$ has some interesting properties (see [15] for detailed calculations). Indeed it admits (complex) moments of any order:

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{N,\beta}^m] = \begin{cases} \beta^{m/N}, & m = nN,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

In particular, its characteristic function is

$$\psi_{\xi_{N,\beta}}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp(i\beta^{1/N} \lambda e^{2i\pi k/N}).$$

Further, we may compute the absolute moments of $\xi_{N,\beta}$ obtaining

$$\mathbb{E}[|\xi_{N,\beta}|^m] = |\beta|^{m/N}.$$

Equation (15) is the starting point for the construction of a particular sequence of random variables on the complex plane which converges (in a sense that will be explained soon) to a stable random variable of order $N \geq 2$.
Let $\{\xi_j,\ j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables having uniform distribution on the set $\beta^{1/N} R(N)$ as in (14). Let $S(N, \beta)_n$ be the random walk defined by the $\{\xi_j\}$, i.e.,

$$S(N, \beta)_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j.$$

Interesting properties of the complex random walk $S(N, \beta)_n$ in the case $\beta = 1$ have been investigated in [15]. In the case where $N = 3$ and the walk $S(3, 1)_n$ occurs on the regular lattice generated by the vectors $\{(1, 0),\ (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}),\ (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})\}$, considered as a *directed* graph. Therefore, the motion is 3-periodic, and a return to the origin only happens if the same number of steps is made in every direction. Therefore, we compute

$$\mathbb{P}(S(3, 1)_{3m} = 0) = \frac{(3m)!}{(m!)^3} \frac{1}{3^{3m}}$$

and Stirling's formula implies $\mathbb{P}(S(3, 1)_{3m} = 0) \sim \frac{1}{2\,\pi\,m}$; hence the expected number of returns to the origin is

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S(3, 1)_{3m} = 0) \sim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} = +\infty$$

and the process is recurrent.
The case $N = 4$ corresponds to the standard, two-dimensional random walk, hence the motion is 2-periodic on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (this time considered as an *undirected* graph). Finally, the motion is recurrent.
In the case where $N = 5$ the process is transient. Indeed, in this case, the motion is again 5-periodic and the

only way to return to the origin is taking the same number of steps in each direction. Hence, again by an application of Stirling's formula,

$$\mathbb{P}(S(5,1)_{5m}=0)=\frac{(5m)!}{(m!)^5}\frac{1}{5^{5m}}\sim\frac{\sqrt{5}}{(2\,\pi\,m)^2}$$

and the expected number of returns is finite:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(S(5,1)_{5m}=0)\sim\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sqrt{5}}{(2\,\pi\,m)^2}<\infty$$

However for $N>5$ the following result holds [15].

Proposition 1. *Let $N\geq 5$. The process $\{S(N,1)_n\}$ is neighborhood-recurrent, i.e., for every x in the lattice generated by the basis $\{\beta^{1/N}e^{2\pi ik/N},\ k=0,1,\dots,N-1\}$ it holds*

$$\mathbb{P}(|S(N,1)_n-x|\leq\varepsilon\quad \textit{infinitely often})=1.$$

By the classical central limit theorem the sequence $\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}S(N,\beta)_n$ converges to a Gaussian random variable for any $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$. However, if we consider a normalized random walk $\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_n$ defined as

$$\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_n=\frac{1}{n^{1/N}}S(N,\beta)_n$$

then converges to a stable distribution of order N in the sense that (see [15])

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\lambda\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_n)]=\exp\left(\frac{i^N\beta}{N!}\lambda^N\right).\tag{16}$$

It is important to remark that for $N>2$ the sequence $\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_n$ cannot converge because of the scaling exponent $1/N$. In fact, for $N>2$, the function $\exp(cx^N)$ is not a well defined characteristic function. Equation (16) states that even if the distributions of $\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_n$ cannot converge weakly to a measure, the integral of suitable functions (the exponentials) with respect to these measures has a well defined limit as $n\to\infty$.

It is possible to extend the definition of $\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_n$ to a continuous time process and construct a sequence of jump processes $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$ on the complex plane such that $\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_n=W_n^{N,\beta}(1)$. Given a sequence $\{\xi_j\}$ of independent copies of the random variable $\xi_{N,\beta}$ defined in (14), let us consider for $t>0$ the process $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&W_n^{N,\beta}(0)=0;\\&W_n^{N,\beta}(t)=\frac{1}{n^{1/N}}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\xi_j.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

We remark that $W_n^{N,\beta}$ can be seen as the extension to continuous time of the random walk $\{\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_n\}$, since we have the following identity for the laws

$$W_n^{N,\beta}(t)\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=}\left(\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor}{n}\right)^{1/N}\tilde{S}(N,\beta)_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}.\tag{18}$$

Remark 1. *It is interesting to investigate the transformation properties of both the random variable $\xi_{N,\beta}$ and the process $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$ under the action of the symmetry transformation $R:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ given by $R(z)=-z$. Indeed if N is even then the distribution of $R(\xi_{N,\beta})$ is equal to the distribution of $\xi_{N,\beta}$, while for N odd the distribution of $R(\xi_{N,\beta})$ is equal to the distribution of $\xi_{N,-\beta}$. The same holds for the corresponding jump processes $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$.*

The process $W_n^{N,\beta}$ has some interesting properties. The following lemma shows the particular behavior of the complex moments.

Lemma 2. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the k-moment of $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$ satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}[(W_n^{N,\beta}(t))^k] = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\beta t}{N!}\right)^{k/N} \frac{k!}{(k/N)!}\mathbf{1}_{[0,\lfloor nt \rfloor]}(k/N) + R(n,k), & k = hN,\ h \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

($\mathbf{1}_{[0,\lfloor nt \rfloor]}$ being the indicator function of the interval $[0, \lfloor nt \rfloor]$).
For $k = hN$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$, the remainder term $R(n,k)$ satisfies the following estimate

$$|R(n, hN)| \leq \frac{|\beta|^h t^{h-1}(h^2+h)}{2n} + \frac{|\beta|^h}{n}\left(\frac{0.792hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN}.$$

Proof. Let $W_n^{N,\beta}(t) = \frac{1}{n^{1/N}}\sum_j^{\lfloor nt \rfloor}\xi_j$ and ψ_n be its characteristic function, namely:

$$\psi_n(\lambda) := \mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda W_n^{N,\beta}(t)}]$$

We have that

$$\mathbb{E}[(W_n^{N,\beta}(t))^k] = (-i)^k \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\psi_n(0),$$

where ψ_n is equal to

$$\psi_n(\lambda) = \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\frac{1}{n^{1/N}} i\lambda\xi)\right]\right)^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} = \left(\psi_\xi\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^{1/N}}\right)\right)^{\lfloor nt \rfloor},$$

where ψ_ξ is the characteristic function of ξ.
By Faá di Bruno's formula [41]

$$\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\psi_n(\lambda) = \sum_{\pi\in\Pi} C(|\pi|, \lambda)\Pi_{B\in\pi}\left(\frac{\psi_\xi^{(|B|)}(\lambda/n^{1/N})}{n^{|B|/N}}\right) \tag{19}$$

where π runs over the set Π of all partitions of the set $1, ..., k$, $B \in \pi$ means that the variable B runs through the list of the blocks of the partition π, $|\pi|$ denotes the number of blocks of the partition π and $|B|$ is the cardinality of a set B, while the function $C : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is equal to

$$C(j,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt \rfloor - j)!}\left(\psi_\xi(\lambda/n^{1/N})\right)^{\lfloor nt \rfloor - j}, & \lfloor nt \rfloor \geq j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Formula (19) can be written in the equivalent form:

$$\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\psi_n(\lambda) = \sum \frac{k!}{m_1!m_2!\cdots m_k!}\frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt \rfloor - (m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k))!}\left(\psi_\xi(\lambda/n^{1/N})\right)^{\lfloor nt \rfloor - (m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k)}\Pi_{j=1}^k\left(\frac{\psi_\xi^{(j)}(\lambda/n^{1/N})}{j!n^{j/N}}\right)^{m_j} \tag{20}$$

where the sum is over the $k-$tuple of non-negative integers $(m_1, m_2, ..., m_k)$ such that $m_1 + 2m_2 + \cdots + km_k = k$ and $m_1 + m_2 + ... + m_k \leq \lfloor nt \rfloor$. In particular we have:

$$\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\psi_n(0) = \sum_{\pi\in\Pi}\frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt \rfloor - |\pi|)!}\Pi_{B\in\pi}\left(\frac{\psi_\xi^{(|B|)}(0)}{n^{|B|/N}}\right) \tag{21}$$

where the first sum runs over the partitions π such that $|\pi| \leq \lfloor nt \rfloor$ or equivalently

$$\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\psi_n(0) = \sum \frac{k!}{m_1!m_2!\cdots m_k!}\frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt \rfloor - (m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k))!}\Pi_{j=1}^k\left(\frac{\psi_\xi^{(j)}(0)}{j!n^{j/N}}\right)^{m_j}. \tag{22}$$

Since $\psi_\xi^{(j)}(0) = (i)^j \mathbb{E}[\xi^j]$, and $\mathbb{E}[\xi^j] \neq 0$ iff $j = mN$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the product $\Pi_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\psi_\xi^{(j)}(0)}{j! n^{j/N}}\right)^{m_j}$ is non vanishing iff $m_j = 0$ for $j \neq lN$ and $k = Nm_N + 2Nm_{2N} + ...$, i.e. if k is a multiple of N. Analogously in the sum appearing in formula (21) the only terms giving a non vanishig contribution correspond to those partitions π having blocks B with a number of elements which is a multiple of N, giving, for $k = hN$:

$$\frac{d^{hN}}{d\lambda^{hN}}\psi_n(0) = i^{hN}\frac{\beta^h}{n^h}\sum_{\pi\in\Pi}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt\rfloor - |\pi|)!} \tag{23}$$

where again the sum runs over the partitions π such that $|\pi| \leq \lfloor nt\rfloor$. Equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{hN}}{d\lambda^{hN}}\psi_n(0) &= \sum \frac{(hN)!}{(m_N)!(m_{2N})!\cdots(m_{hN})!}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt\rfloor - (m_N + m_{2N} + \cdots + m_{hN}))!}\Pi_{l=1}^h \left(\frac{\psi_\xi^{(lN)}(0)}{(lN)!n^l}\right)^{m_{lN}}, \\
&= \sum \frac{(hN)!}{(m_N)!(m_{2N})!\cdots(m_{hN})!}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt\rfloor - (m_N + m_{2N} + \cdots + m_{hN}))!}\Pi_{l=1}^h \left(\frac{i^{lN}\beta^l}{(lN)!n^l}\right)^{m_{lN}}, \\
&= \frac{i^{hN}\beta^h}{n^h}\sum \frac{(hN)!}{(m_N)!(m_{2N})!\cdots(m_{hN})!}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt\rfloor - (m_N + m_{2N} + \cdots + m_{hN}))!}\Pi_{l=1}^h \frac{1}{((lN)!)^{m_{lN}}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over the $h-$ple of non-negative integers $(m_N, m_{2N}, ..., m_{hN})$ such that $m_N + 2m_{2N} + ... + hm_{hN} = h$ and $m_N + m_{2N} + ... + m_{hN} \leq \lfloor nt\rfloor$.
Hence, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(W_n^{N,\beta}(t))^{hN}] = \beta^h \sum \frac{(hN)!}{(m_N)!(N!)^{M_N}(m_{2N})!(2N)!^{m_{2N}}\cdots(m_{hN})!(hN)!^{m_{hN}}}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{n^h(\lfloor nt\rfloor - (m_N + m_{2N} + \cdots + m_{hN}))!}$$

When $n \to \infty$, the leading term in the previous sum is the one corresponding to $m_N = h$ (hence $m_2 N = ... = m_{hN} = 0$), which is equal to

$$\beta^h \frac{(hN)!}{(m_N)!(N!)^h}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{n^h(\lfloor nt\rfloor - h)!} = \beta^h t^h \frac{(hN)!}{h!(N!)^h} + \beta^h \frac{(hN)!}{h!(N!)^h}\left(\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{n^h(\lfloor nt\rfloor - h)!} - t^h\right)$$

In the case where $\lfloor nt\rfloor < h$ then this term does not appear in the sum and we can set it equal to 0. In the case where $\lfloor nt\rfloor \geq h$, we can estimate the quantity inside brackets as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{n^h(\lfloor nt\rfloor - h)!} - t^h\right| = \frac{1}{n^h}\left|-(nt)^h + \pi_{j=0}^{h-1}(\lfloor nt\rfloor - j)\right| = \frac{1}{n^h}\left|\pi_{j=0}^{h-1}\big((\lfloor nt\rfloor - j) + (\{nt\} + j)\big) - \pi_{j=0}^{h-1}(\lfloor nt\rfloor - j)\right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n^h}\sum_{j=0}^{h-1}(\{nt\} + j)\Pi_{k\neq j} nt = \frac{(nt)^{h-1}}{n^h}\sum_{j=0}^{h-1}(\{nt\} + j) \leq \frac{(nt)^{h-1}}{n^h}\sum_{j=0}^{h-1}(1 + j) = \frac{t^{h-1}(h^2 + h)}{2n}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we have used that if $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a_j, b_j \geq 0$ for all $j = 0, ..., m$, then (see appendix)

$$\Pi_{j=0}^m(a_j + b_j) - \Pi_{j=0}^m a_j \leq \sum_{j=0}^m b_j \Pi_{k\neq j}(a_k + b_k).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_1(n,h)| &= \left|\beta^h \frac{(hN)!}{(m_N)!(N!)^h}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{n^h(\lfloor nt\rfloor - h)!} - \beta^h t^h \frac{(hN)!}{h!(N!)^h}\right| \\
&\leq \frac{|\beta|^h t^{h-1}(h^2 + h)}{2n}
\end{aligned}$$

By using formula (23), the remaining terms in the sum (corrisponding to the $h-$ple $(m_N, m_{2N}, ..., m_{hN})$ with $m_N < h$) are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(n,h) &= \frac{\beta^h}{n^h}\sum_{\pi\in\Pi}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{(\lfloor nt\rfloor-|\pi|)!} - \beta^h\frac{(hN)!}{(m_N)!(N!)^h}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor!}{n^h(\lfloor nt\rfloor-h)!} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^h}{n^h}\sum_{\pi\in\Pi} n^{h-1} = \frac{\beta^h}{n}B_{hN} \end{aligned}$$

where B_{hN} is the Bell number, i.e. the number of partitions of the set $\{1, ..., hN\}$. In particular, for $h \to \infty$ (see [13]) $B_{hN} < \left(\frac{0.792hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN}$, hence

$$|R_2(n,h)| \leq \frac{|\beta|^h}{n}\left(\frac{0.792hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN}.$$

□

A direct consequence of lemma 2 is the following theorem, which generalizes formula (16) to the sequence of random walks $W_n^{N,\beta}$.

Theorem 3. *For any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, the sequence of random walks $W_n^{N,\beta}$ converges weakly to a $N-$stable process in the sense that for any $t \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the following holds:*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\lambda W_n^{N,\beta}(t)] = \exp\left(\frac{i^N\beta t}{N!}\lambda^N\right). \tag{24}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\lambda W_n^{N,\beta}(t)] = \lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{1}{k!}i^k\lambda^k\mathbb{E}[(W_n^{N,\beta}(t))^k] \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\frac{i^{hN}\lambda^{hN}}{(hN)!}\left(\frac{\beta t}{N!}\right)^h\frac{(hN)!}{h!} + \lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{h=0}^{m}\frac{i^{hN}\lambda^{hN}}{(hN)!}R(n,hN) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{i^N\beta t}{N!}\lambda^N\right) \end{aligned}$$

Indeed for $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left|\sum_{h=0}^{m}\frac{i^{hN}\lambda^{hN}}{(hN)!}R(n,hN)\right| \leq \frac{C}{n}, \qquad C := \sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\beta|^h|\lambda|^{hN}}{(hN)!}\left(\frac{t^{h-1}(h^2+h)}{2} + \left(\frac{0.792hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN}\right) < \infty.$$

□

Theorem 4. *Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be an entire analytic function with the power series expansion $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, such that the coefficients $\{a_k\}$ satisfy the following condition:*

$$\sum_h |a_{hN}|\left(\frac{0.792hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN} < \infty \tag{25}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(W_n^{N,\beta}(t))] &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty}a_{hN}\frac{(hN)!}{h!}\left(\frac{\alpha t}{N!}\right)^h \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\frac{f^{(hN)}(0)}{h!}\left(\frac{\alpha t}{N!}\right)^h \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(W_n^{N,\beta}(t))]=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_k\mathbb{E}[(W_n^{N,\beta}(t))^k]$$

$$=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}a_{hN}\left(\frac{\beta t}{N!}\right)^h\frac{(hN)!}{h!}+\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{h=2}^{m}a_{hN}R(n,hN)=\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}a_{hN}\left(\frac{\beta t}{N!}\right)^h\frac{(hN)!}{h!}$$

Indeed, by assumption (25), we have

$$\left|\sum_{h=2}^{m}a_{hN}R(n,hN)\right|\le\frac{C}{n},\qquad C:=\sum_{h=2}^{\infty}a_{hN}|\beta|^h\left(\frac{t^{h-1}(h^2+h)}{2}+\left(\frac{0.792hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN}\right)<\infty$$

□

Remark 2. *If there exist $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all k the coefficient a_k satisfy the inequality $|a_k| \le \frac{C_1 C_2^k}{k!}$, then they satisfy assumption* (25)*.*

Definition 5. *An analytic function f is said of* exponential type *c if $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_k z^k$ and $(a_k k!)^{1/k}\to c$ as $k\to\infty$.*

Remark 3. *If f is of exponential type, then it satisfies assumption* (25)*.*

Remark 4. *If $f:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ is the Fourier transform of a complex bounded variation measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support, then f is of exponential type. In particular it satisfies the assumptions of remark 2.*

Corollary 6. *If $f:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ is the Fourier transform of a complex bounded variation measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support, i.e $f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{ixy}d\mu(y)$, then for all $t\in\mathbb{R}, x\in\mathbb{R}$*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(x+W_n^{N,\beta}(t))]=\int e^{iyx}e^{i^n\beta t\frac{y^N}{N!}}d\mu(y).$$

3. A sequence of subordinated processes

Given a positive integer $N\in\mathbb{N}$ with $N\ge 2$ and a constant $\alpha\in(0,1)$, in the present section we construct by means of Bochner's subordination a sequence of jump processes on the complex plane converging weakly (in the sense of theorem 9 stated below) to an $N\alpha$-stable process. Our aim is the definition of the limit of subordinated processes $W_n^{N,\beta}(H_t^\alpha)$, where $W_n^{N,\beta}$ is the sequence of complex random walks defined in section 2 and H_t^α, $\alpha\in(0,1)$ and $t\ge 0$, is the α-stable subordinator.

The first step is the construction of a sequence $\{S_m^\alpha(t)\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compound Poisson processes (with finite moments of any order) approximating the α-stable subordinator H_t^α (see Appendix A for the definition of $\alpha-$ stable processes).
Let $S_m^\alpha(t)$ be defined by

$$S_m^\alpha(t)=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{X}Y_j \tag{26}$$

where $X\sim\mathrm{Po}(\lambda t m^{2\alpha})$ is a Poisson random variable of parameter $\lambda\, t\, m^{2\alpha}$, with $\lambda=(\Gamma(1-\alpha))^{-1}$ and Y_j are indipendent identically distributed[1] copies of the random variable $Y_{[m]}$, with density $f_m(y)=c_m\, y^{-\alpha-1}\,\mathbf{1}_{\left(\frac{1}{m},m^2\right)}(y)$, $c_m=\frac{\alpha}{m^\alpha(1-m^{-3\alpha})}$ (see appendix B.2).

Theorem 7. *The sequence of random variables $\{S_m^\alpha(t)\}_m$ converges weakly to the α-stable subordinator $H^\alpha(t)$:*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iyS_m^\alpha}\right]=\exp\left(-t(-i\,y)^\alpha\right),\quad y\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{27}$$

[1]For notational simplicity we do not write explicitly the dependence of Y_j and X on the index m

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iyS_m^\alpha}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}[e^{i\frac{y}{m}Y_j}]\right)^X\right] = \exp\left(-\lambda\, t\, m^{2\alpha}\left(1-\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\frac{y}{m}Y_{[m]}}\right]\right)\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\lambda\, t\, m^{2\alpha}\, c_m \int_{1/m}^{m^2}\left(1-e^{i\frac{y}{m}y}\right)\, y^{-\alpha-1}\,\mathrm{d}y\right)$$

by means of a change of variable $x = y/m$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iyS_m^\alpha}\right] = \exp\left(-\lambda\, t\, m^{2\alpha}\, c_m \int_{1/m^2}^{m}\left(1-e^{iyx}\right)\, x^{-\alpha-1} m^{-\alpha-1+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\lambda\, t\, m^{\alpha}\, c_m \int_{1/m^2}^{m}\left(1-e^{iyx}\right)\, x^{-\alpha-1}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)$$

Since $\lim_{m\to\infty} m^\alpha c_m = \alpha$, we eventually obtain

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iyS_m^\alpha}\right] = \exp\left(-\,t\,\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^\infty\left(1-e^{iyx}\right)\, x^{-\alpha-1}\,\mathrm{d}x\right) = \exp\left(-t(-i\,y)^\alpha\right)$$

□

Remark 5. *Formula* (27) *remains valid by replacing* $y \in \mathbb{R}$ *with a complex variable* $z \in \mathbb{C}$. *Indeed for* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $Re(z) \le 0$

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{zS_m^\alpha}\right] = \exp\left(-\,t\,\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^\infty\left(1-e^{zx}\right)\, x^{-\alpha-1}\,\mathrm{d}x\right) = \exp\left(-t(-z)^\alpha\right) \tag{28}$$

Lemma 8. *The moments of the compound Poisson process* S_m^α *given by* (26) *satisfy the following asymptotic estimate as* $m \to \infty$

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_m^\alpha)^k] \sim k^k m^k e^{2\lambda t m^{2\alpha}}$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_m^\alpha)^k] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{m^k}\sum_{j_1=1}^X\cdots\sum_{j_k=1}^X Y_{j_1}\dots Y_{j_k}\right] = \frac{1}{m^k}\mathbb{E}\sum_{j_1=1}^X\cdots\sum_{j_k=1}^X\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{j_1}\dots Y_{j_k}\right]$$

By the estimate (62) (see appendix B) we obtain for $k \to +\infty$ the following asymptotic equivalence

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_m^\alpha)^k] \sim \frac{1}{m^k}\mathbb{E}\sum_{j_1=1}^X\cdots\sum_{j_k=1}^X m^{2k} = \mathbb{E}[X^k]\, m^k$$

and by using estimate (61) we eventually obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_m^\alpha)^k] \sim k^k m^k e^{2\lambda\, t\, m^{2\alpha}}. \tag{29}$$

□

Let us now consider the sequence of jump processes $\{W_n^{N,\beta}(t)\}_n$ described in section 2 and for any couple $(n,m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ let us consider the subordinated process $X_{n,m}(t) = W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))$. We can think to $X_{n,m}$ as a jump process in the complex plane, where the jumps, uniformy distributed on the set $\frac{\beta^{1/N}}{n^{1/N}}R(N)$, occour at random times, namely:

$$X_{n,m}(t) = \frac{1}{n^{1/N}}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nS_m^\alpha(t)\rfloor}\xi_j, \tag{30}$$

where ξ_j are i.i.d on the set $\beta^{1/N}R(N)$ (see Eq. (14)).

The following theorem generalizes theorem 3 and shows that $X_{n,m}(t)$ converges in a suitable sense to an $N\alpha$-stable process

Theorem 9. *Let the parameters $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ be choosen in such a way that the following inequality is satisfied*

$$\Re((-i)^N \beta y^N) \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{31}$$

Then the following holds

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{-iyW_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))}] = e^{-t\left((-1)^{N+1} i^N y^N \frac{\beta}{N!}\right)^\alpha}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-iyW_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha)}\right] =& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^k y^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}\left[W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha)^k\right] \\
=& \mathbb{E} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{hN} y^{hN}}{(hN)!} \left(\frac{\beta S_m^\alpha}{N!}\right)^h \frac{(hN)!}{h!} + \mathbb{E} \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{hN} y^{hN}}{(hN)!} R(n,h,S_m^\alpha) \\
=& \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((-i)^N y^N \frac{\beta S_m^\alpha}{N!}\right)\right] + R(n, S_m^\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

The remainder can be estimated as:

$$|R(n,S_m^\alpha)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \frac{|y|^{hN}}{(hN)!} \left(|\beta|^h \mathbb{E}\left[|S_m^\alpha|^{h-1}\right](h^2+h) + |\beta|^h \left(\frac{c\, hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN}\right)$$

by using (29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|R(n,S_m^\alpha)| \leq& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \frac{|y|^{hN}}{(hN)!} \left(|\beta|^h m^{h-1} e^{2tm^{2\alpha}} (h-1)^{h-1}(h^2+h) + |\beta|^h \left(\frac{0.792\, hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN}\right) \\
\leq& \frac{1}{n} C(m)
\end{aligned}$$

for some finite constant $C(m)$ depending on m. We obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-iyW_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha)}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((-i)^N y^N \frac{\beta S_m^\alpha}{N!}\right)\right]$$

and eventually, by using (28), we get

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-iyW_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha)}\right] = \lim_{m\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((-i)^N y^N \frac{\beta S_m^\alpha}{N!}\right)\right] = \exp\left(-t\left((-1)^{N+1} i^N y^N \frac{\beta}{N!}\right)^\alpha\right)$$

□

Theorem 10. *Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the Fourier transform of a complex bounded measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support, i.e. f be of the form $f(x) = \int e^{-iyx} d\mu(y)$. Then under the above assumption on β and N*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[f(W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iyx} e^{-t\left((-1)^{N+1} i^N y^N \frac{\beta}{N!}\right)^\alpha} d\mu(y) \tag{32}$$

Proof. By Fubini theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[f(W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))] =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-iyW_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha)}\right] d\mu(y) \\
=& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((-i)^N y^N \frac{\beta S_m^\alpha}{N!}\right)\right] d\mu(y) + R(m,n)
\end{aligned}$$

The remainder can be estimated as

$$|R(n,m)| \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{h=2}^{\infty}\frac{|\beta|^h \int_{\mathbb{R}}|y|^{hN}d\mu(y)}{(hN)!}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|S_m^\alpha|^{h-1}\right](h^2+h)+\left(\frac{0.792\,hN}{\log(hN+1)}\right)^{hN}\right)$$

By using (29) and the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}|y|^{hN}d\mu(y) \leq CM^{hN},$$

where C is the total variation of μ and $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the support of μ in included in the interval $(-M, M)$, we eventually obtain that

$$|R(n,m)| \leq \frac{C(m)}{n}$$

and by taking the limits:

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\exp\left(-t\left((-1)^{N+1}i^N y^N\frac{\beta}{N!}\right)^\alpha\right)d\mu(y).$$

□

Remark 6. *Theorem 9 allows to interpret* formally *the limit process of $X_{n,m}$ as an $N\alpha$-stable process. In fact such a process cannot exist in the case $N\alpha > 2$ and, analogously to the random walk $W_n^{N,\beta}$ studied in section 2, the sequence of complex random variables $X_{n,m}(t)$ does not converge. Theorems 9 and 10 have to be interpreted in a weaker sense, indeed even if the distribution of $W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))$ does not converge to a well defined probability measure on the complex plane, the integral of suitable functions (i.e. linear combinations of exponentials) converges and the limit is given by formula* (32).
It is particularly interesting the study of the case N is an integer strictly greater than 2 and the product $N\alpha$ satisfies the inequality $N\alpha \leq 2$. In this case an $N\alpha$-stable process $H_t^{N\alpha}$ exists and its relation with the sequence of jump processes $\{W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))\}_{m,n}$ is worthy of investigation. We can consider for instance the case where $N = 2M$ with $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1/M$ and $\beta = (-1)^{M+1}\frac{(2M)!}{2^M}$. According to theorem 9 we have the following convergence result

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[e^{-iyW_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))}] = e^{-t\frac{y^2}{2}}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Nevertheless this is not sufficient to interpret the limit of $W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))$ as a Wiener process. First of all for any $(n,m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ the process $W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))$ has complex paths. Moreover, by using the definition of the random walk $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$, it is easy to verify that, fixed a $R > 0$, the probability that the process $W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t)$ does not exit from the ball $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{C}$ converges to 0 as $n, m \to \infty$:

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}P\left(W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t)) \in B_R(0)\right) = 0,$$

contrary to the case of the Wiener process.

4. Probabilistic representation of evolution equations with fractional order space derivative

Let $A_{N,\beta} : D(A_{N,\beta}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the operator defined by

$$\widehat{A_{N,\beta}f}(y) := \frac{(-i)^N\beta y^N}{N!}\hat{f}(y)$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $\hat{f}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{ixy}f(x)dx$ for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, with

$$D(A_{N,\beta}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}}y^{2N}|\hat{f}(y)|^2dy < \infty\}.$$

In other words $A_{N,\beta}$ is the Fourier integral operator with symbol $\Psi(y) := \frac{(-i)^N \beta y^N}{N!}$. On smooth functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^N(\mathbb{R})$ it is given by

$$A_{N,\beta} f(x) = \frac{\beta}{N!} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial x^N} f(x).$$

In the following we shall always assume that $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real constant that for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ even satisfies the inequality $(-1)^{N/2}\beta < 0$. Under this assumption the operator $A_{N,\beta}$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In fact for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ odd, the operator $iA_{N,\beta}$ is self-adjoint and generates a strongly continuous unitary group on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $B : D(B) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the operator defined by

$$\widehat{Bf}(y) := |y| \hat{f}(y)$$

with

$$D(B) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 |\hat{f}(y)|^2 dy < \infty \}$$

B is called *Riesz operator*, formally written as $B \equiv \partial_{|x|}$. It is given by

$$\partial_{|x|} f(x) = -k \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x-s) - 2f(x) + f(x+s)}{s^2} ds, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad k = \left(2 \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos s}{s^2} ds \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\pi}$$

Via functional calculus, it is straightforward to define the N-power of B, as the operator B^N with symbol $\Psi(y) = |y|^N$ and domain $D(B^N) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^{2N} |\hat{f}(y)|^2 dy < \infty \}$. For N even we have that $A_{N,\beta} = \frac{(-i)^N \beta}{N!} B^N$.
For a given real constant $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let us define the fractional power of $-A_{N,\beta}$ and B^N as the operators $(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha$ and $B_{N,\alpha}$ with symbols respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha f}(y) &= \left(\frac{(-1)^{N+1} i^N \beta y^N}{N!} \right)^\alpha \hat{f}(y), \\ \widehat{B_{N,\alpha} f}(y) &= |y|^{N\alpha} \hat{f}(y), \end{aligned}$$

where, given a complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$, with $z = |z| e^{i\theta}$, with $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, the α-power of z is taken as

$$z^\alpha = |z|^\alpha e^{i\alpha\theta}.$$

Note that when N is odd and $i^N \beta$ is a purely imaginary number the symbol of $(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha$ is explicitly given by $\left(\frac{(-1)^{N+1} i^N \beta y^N}{N!} \right)^\alpha := \frac{|\beta|^\alpha}{N!^\alpha} |y|^{N\alpha} e^{(-1)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \frac{i\pi\alpha}{2} \frac{\beta y}{|\beta y|}}$.
The action of the operator $(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha$ can be also represented by the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} (-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha f(x) &= \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x) - e^{sA_{N,\beta}} f(x)}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(x) - \mathbb{E}[f(x + W_n^{N,\beta}(s))]}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds \end{aligned}$$

$e^{sA_{N,\beta}}$ being the semigroup generated by $A_{N,\beta}$ and $W_n^{N,\beta}$ the sequence of complex random walks defined in section 2.
For N an even integer, there is a trivial relation between the operators $(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha$ and $B_{N,\alpha}$, namely

$$(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha = \left(\frac{(-1)^{N+1} i^N \beta}{N!} \right)^\alpha B_{N,\alpha}.$$

For N odd, we have $B_{N,\alpha}=\frac{(A_{N,\beta})^\alpha+(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha}{2(|\beta|/N!)^\alpha\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}$. The action of $B_{N,\alpha}$ can be also written in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{N,\alpha}f(x) &= \left(2\int_0^\infty \frac{1-\cos s}{s^{\alpha+1}}ds\right)^{-1}\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{sA_{N,1}}f(x)-2f(x)+e^{-sA_{N,1}}f(x)}{s^{\alpha+1}}ds \\ &= \left(2\int_0^\infty \frac{1-\cos s}{s^{\alpha+1}}ds\right)^{-1}\int_0^\infty \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}[f(x+W_n^{N,1}(s))]-2f(x)+\mathbb{E}[f(x+W_n^{N,-1}(s))]}{s^{\alpha+1}}ds \end{aligned}$$

Let $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a function of the form

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixy}\hat{f}(y)dy, \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{33}$$

with $\hat{f}\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ being a compactly supported function. It is straightforward to verify that f belongs to the domain of any of the operators above. Furthermore the subset $D\subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of functions of the form (33) is an operator core. By considering the complex bounded Borel measure on the real line μ_f absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure with density $\frac{\hat{f}}{2\pi}$, we can look at the function f defined by (33) as the Fourier transform of μ_f. Hence f can be extended to an entire analytic function $f:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ of exponential type (see remark 4).

For any of the following initial value problems

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t) &= Au(t) \\ u(t_0) &= f, \qquad t\geq t_0, f\in D \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

with A being either one of the operators $A_{N,\beta}$ and $-B^N$ or one of their fractional powers $-(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha$ and $-B_{N,\alpha}$ and with f of the form (33), we are going to construct a sequence of complex jump processes $\{X_{n,m}\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ providing a probabilistic representation for the solution $u(t,x)$ of the form

$$u(t,x)=\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(x+X_{n,m}(t-t_0)].$$

The first result is taken from [15] and is a direct consequence of corollary 6.

Theorem 11. *Let $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ be an $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ function of the form* (33)*. Then the (classical [2]) solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t,x) &= A_{N,\beta}u(t,x) \\ u(t_0,x) &= f(x), \qquad t\geq t_0, x\in\mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

is given by

$$u(t,x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(x+W_n^{N,\beta}(t-t_0)], \tag{36}$$

where $\{W_n^{N,\beta}(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of complex random walks defined in (17)*.*

Proof. By corollary 6 we have

$$u(t,x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(x+W_n^{N,\beta}(t-t_0)]=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixy}\exp\left((-i)^N\beta(t-t_0)\frac{y^N}{N!}\right)\hat{f}(y)dy.$$

By the compactness of the support of the fuction $\hat{f}$, the function $u(t,x)$ is smooth and, by direct computation, a classical solution of the PDE

$$\partial_t u(t,x)=\frac{\beta}{N!}\partial_x^N u(t,x).$$

□

[2]For a classical solution of the initial value problem (35) we mean a function $u:[0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ which is of class C^1 in the time variable t, of class C^N in the space variable x and such that for any $(t,x)\in[0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ the equality $\partial_t u(t,x)=\frac{\beta}{N!}\frac{\partial^N}{\partial x^N}u(t,x)$ holds.

Let us consider now the fractional power $(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha$ of the N-order differential operator $-A_{N,\beta}$ and construct a probabilistic representation of the associated C_0-contraction semigroup.

Theorem 12. *Let $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ be an $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ function of the form (33). Then the solution of*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u(t,x) &= -(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha u(t,x)\\ u(t_0,x) &= f(x), \qquad t\geq t_0, x\in\mathbb{R}\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

is given by

$$u(t,x)=\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(x+X_{n,m}(t-t_0))], \tag{38}$$

where $\{X_{n,m}(t)\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of complex random walks defined in (30) as $X_{n,m}(t)=W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))$.

Equation (37) can be formally written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x)=-\left(-\frac{\beta}{N!}\right)^\alpha\frac{\partial^{N\alpha}}{\partial x^{N\alpha}}u(t,x).$$

Proof. By theorem 10 the function $u(t,x)$ defined by the r.h.s. of (38) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}u(t,x) &= \lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(x+X_{n,m}(t-t_0))]\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-ixy}e^{-(t-t_0)\left((-1)^{N+1}i^N y^N\frac{\beta}{N!}\right)^\alpha}\hat f(y)dy.\end{aligned}$$

The last line is exactly the action of the semigroup $e^{-(-A_{N,\beta})^\alpha(t-t_0)}$ on the vector $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, because of the assumptions (31) on the constant N,β and the compactness of the support of $\hat f\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the function $u(t,x)$ is smooth in both the time and space variables. □

Let us now consider the Riesz operator B and its powers. Given $N\in\mathbb{N}$, let us consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u(t,x) &= -B^N u(t,x)\\ u(t_0,x) &= f(x), \qquad t\geq t_0, x\in\mathbb{R}\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

with f of the form (33) as above. For N even, the operator B^N coincides with $(-A_{N,\beta})$, with $\beta\equiv(-1)^{\frac{N}{2}+1}N!$. By theorem 11 the solution of (39) is given by (36). In the case where N is odd, the construction of the associated process is neither simple, nor unique, as the following result shows.

Theorem 13. *Let $f\in D$. Fixed $N\in\mathbb{N}$, let us consider for any $M\in\mathbb{N}$ the sequence of processes $\{W_n^{2MN,\beta}(t)\}$ defined in (17), with $\beta=(-1)^{MN+1}(2MN)!$. Then, choosing $\alpha=\frac{1}{2M}$, the solution of the initial value problem (39) is given by*

$$u(t,x)=\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(x+W_n^{2MN,\beta}(S_m^{\frac{1}{2M}}(t-t_0)))], \tag{40}$$

where $S_m^\alpha(t)$ is the sequence of processes (26) approximating the α-stable subordinator.

Proof. By applying theorem 10 the function $u(t,x)$ defined by the r.h.s. of (40) is equal to

$$u(t,x)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-ixy}e^{-(t-t_0)|y|^N}\hat f(y)dy.$$

□

Remark 7. *Even if necessary in the case where N is odd, representation (40) is valid also in the case where N is even. In fact in this case for any $M\in\mathbb{N}$ it is possible to represent the solution of the initial value problem (39) as:*

$$u(t,x)=\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f(x+W_n^{MN,\beta}(S_m^{\frac{1}{M}}(t-t_0)))]$$

with $\beta=(-1)^{\frac{MN}{2}+1}(MN)!$.

More generally, Let us conside the operator $B_{N,\alpha}$, and the corresponding associated initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t,x) &= -B_{N,\alpha} u(t,x) \\ u(t_0,x) &= f(x) \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

with $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of the form (33). For N even, we can take the process $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$ associated to the operator $A_{N,\beta}$, with $\beta = (-1)^{N/2} N!$ and the solution of (41) is given by

$$u(t,x) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[f(x + W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t-t_0))].$$

The following construction allows to handle the case where N is odd.

Theorem 14. *Let $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$ and $\tilde{W}_n^{N,\beta'}(t)$ be two independent copies of the process (17), with $\beta = N!$ and $\beta' = -N!$ respectively and let $S_m^\alpha(t)$ and $\tilde{S}_m^\alpha(t)$be two independent copies of the process (26). Taking a rescaled time variable $\tilde{t} := (2\cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right))^{-1} t$, the solution of (41) with $f \in D$ is given by*

$$u(t,x) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(x + W_n^{N,\beta}\left(S_m^\alpha(\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}_0)\right) + \tilde{W}_n^{N,\beta'}\left(\tilde{S}_m^\alpha(\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}_0)\right)\right)\right]$$

Proof. By applying theorem 10 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixy} e^{-(\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}_0)\left((iy^N)^\alpha + (-iy^N)^\alpha\right)} \hat{f}(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixy} e^{-(t-t_0)|y|^{N\alpha}} \hat{f}(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 8. *In fact for any operator of the form B^N, with $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a family of associated processes. For instance, if $N = 2$, the solution of the heat equation*

$$\partial_t u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u(t,x) \tag{42}$$

can be represented by means of the Feynman-Kac formula, as the expectation with respect to the distribution of the Wiener process $W(t)$:

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}[u(t_0, x + W(t-t_0))], \qquad t \geq t_0, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

but alternative construction are possible. Let us consider for instance the sequence of processes $W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))$, with $N = 4$, $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = -3!$. Then the solution of (42) can also be represented by

$$u(t,x) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[u(t_0, x + W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t-t_0))], \qquad t \geq t_0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The same formula holds by taking a generic $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and setting $N \equiv 2M$, $\alpha \equiv 1/M$ and $\beta \equiv (-1)^{M+1}\frac{(2M)!}{2^M}$ It is important to remark that, even in these cases the sequence of jump processes $\{W_n^{N,\beta}(S_m^\alpha(t))\}_{m,n}$ does not converge to the Wiener process W, as discussed in Remark 6.

5. Time fractional diffusion equations

In this section we consider time-fractional equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha u(t,x) &= A_{N,\beta} u(t,x) \\ u(0,x) &= f(x), \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where the time-fractional derivative $\boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha$ must be understood in the sense of Caputo, that is, setting $m = \lceil \alpha \rceil$ be the smallest integer larger or equal to α (and therefore $m - 1 < \alpha \leq m$), $\boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}$ if $\alpha = m \in \mathbb{N}$, while for $\alpha < m$

$$\boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{(m-\alpha)-1} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} v(s)\, \mathrm{d}s.$$

The Caputo derivative $\boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha v(t)$, for $\alpha \in (0,1)$, can be defined as the function with Laplace transform $\lambda^\alpha \tilde{v}(\lambda) - \lambda^{\alpha-1} v(0^+)$.

In particular, let us consider $m-1 < \alpha < m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We recall the following expression for the Laplace transform of the Caputo fractional derivative

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}^\alpha f}(s) = s^\alpha \widetilde{f}(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \partial_t^k f(t)\Big|_{t=0^+} s^{\alpha-k-1}.$$

The reader can consult the book by Samko et al. [62] for further details.

In our construction, equation (43) is solved with the aid of a random time change of the complex random walk $W_n^{N,\beta}(t)$. In order to explain our construction, we introduce the α-stable subordinator $H^\alpha(t)$, i.e., a subordinator with zero drift and Lévy measure

$$m_\alpha(\mathrm{d}x) = \frac{c}{x^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

where $c>0$ is a given constant. If we choose $c = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$, then the Laplace exponent becomes $\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha$. We denote by L_t^α the inverse of the subordinator $H^\alpha(t)$ (see Appendix A), namely:

$$L_t^\alpha = \inf\{s \geq 0 \,:\, H_s^\alpha \geq t\}.$$

The moments of L_t^α are equal to

$$\mathbb{E}[(L_t^\alpha)^k] = \frac{k! t^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

while its Laplace transform is

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-zL_t^\alpha}] = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(-z)^k t^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In the following result, we show that the sequence of subordinated processes $W_n^{N,\beta}(L_t^\alpha)$ can be associated with the PDE (43). Notice that, as opposite to previous section, here we only need to take one limit.

Theorem 15. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying assumption (31). If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an analytic function that is the Fourier transform of a bounded complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support, i.e.*

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_f(\lambda),$$

then of the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha u(t,x) =& A_{N,\beta} u(t,x) \\ u(0,x) =& f(x), \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

is given by

$$u(t,x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[f(x + W_n^{N,\beta}(L_t^\alpha)].$$

Proof. By explicit computation

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[f(x + W_n^{N,\beta}(L_t^\alpha)] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x} \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N L_t^\alpha}] d\mu_f(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x} E_\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N t^\alpha\right) d\mu_f(\lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where E_α denotes the Mittag-Leffler function, namely $E_\alpha(t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}$. Under the stated assumption on the constants $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the argument $z \equiv \frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N t^\alpha$ is a complex number with non positive real part

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, hence the map $\lambda \mapsto E_\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N t^\alpha\right)$ is bounded and the integral (44) is absolutely convergent and defines a C^∞ function of the x variable. Furthermore, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the fuction $u(t,x)$ is stiil the Fourier transform of a complex measure μ_t on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support which is also absolutely continuous with respect to μ_f, namely :

$$\frac{d\mu_t}{d\mu_f} := E_\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N t^\alpha\right)$$

In particular

$$A_{N,\beta}u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x}\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N E_\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N t^\alpha\right) d\mu_f(\lambda).$$

Il order to prove that this is equal to $\partial_t^\alpha u(t,x)$, hence that equation (43) holds, let us take the Laplace transform of both sides. Denoting $\tilde{u}(\rho,x)$ the Laplace transform of $u(t,x)$ and applying Fubini theorem as well as the properties of the Mittag-Leffler function and the condition $Re\left(\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N\right) \leq 0$, first of all we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}(\rho,x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t}u(t,x)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x}\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t}E_\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N t^\alpha\right) dt d\mu_f(\lambda) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x}\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t}E_\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N t^\alpha\right) dt d\mu_f(\lambda) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-i\lambda x}}{\rho}\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N\rho^{-\alpha}\right)} d\mu_f(\lambda) \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by taking the Fourier-Laplace transform of both sides of (43) we obtain

$$\rho^\alpha\tilde{u}(\rho,\lambda) - \rho^{\alpha-1}\tilde{u}(0,\lambda) = \frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N\tilde{u}(\rho,\lambda)$$

which yields

$$\tilde{u}(\rho,\lambda) = \frac{\rho^{-1}}{1-\frac{\beta}{N!}(-i\lambda)^N\rho^{-\alpha}}\tilde{u}(0,\lambda). \qquad (46)$$

By comparing (45) and (46) we obtain the final result.
□

5.1. **Time fractional equations and non-local space fractional equations.** In this section, we discuss the relationship between equation (43) and the diffusion equation with non-local forcing term of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u(t,x) &= (A_{N,\beta})^{1/\alpha}u(t,x) + \sum_{k=1}^{1/\alpha-1}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k)}t^{\alpha k-1}A_{N,\beta}^k f(x) \qquad (47)\\
u(0,x) &= f(x)
\end{aligned}$$

We shall require that $\alpha = M^{-1}$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $M > 1$, so in particular $\alpha \in (0,1)$.
The proof of the equivalence follows by taking Laplace (in time, parameter s) and Fourier (in space, parameter λ) transform of both equations, and proving that the Laplace-Fourier transforms of the solutions coincide.

Let us first consider the equation (43). By taking Laplace and Fourier transform on both sides we get

$$s^\alpha\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) - s^{\alpha-1}\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda)$$

hence

$$\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) = \frac{s^{\alpha-1}}{s^\alpha - \frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}}\widehat{f}(\lambda) \qquad (48)$$

(it is worth noticing that the assumption on the sign of β: $\Re[(-i)^N\beta] \leq 0$, implies that the quantity we simplify on both sides is never zero).
Next, we apply the same machinery to the solution of equation (47). We have

$$s\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) - \widehat{f}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^M \hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} s^{-\alpha k}\left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^k \widehat{f}(\lambda)$$

and rearranging both sides we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left(s - \left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^M\right)\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) &= \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} s^{-\alpha k}\left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^k \widehat{f}(\lambda)\\ &= \frac{1-\left(s^{-\alpha}\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^M}{1-s^{-\alpha}\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}}\widehat{f}(\lambda)\\ &= \frac{s-\left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^M}{s-s^{1-\alpha}\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}}\widehat{f}(\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

so we simplify the quantity in the numerator

$$\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) = \frac{1}{s-s^{1-\alpha}\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}}\widehat{f}(\lambda)$$

which coincides with (48), as required.

Informally, we notice that a fractional time derivative of order α have become a fractional space derivative of order $1/\alpha$, but this transformation have involved, in a rather complicated way, the initial condition. In the next, and last example of this section, we shall see what happens if we start with an equation involving fractional derivatives of the same order in both time and space, and we compare it with an equation with integer order derivatives. To be precise, the following equation takes place of (43)

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{D}_t^\alpha u(t,x) =& (A_{N,\beta})^\alpha u(t,x)\\ u(0,x) =& f(x),\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

while the following nonlocal problem takes the place of (47):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u(t,x) &= A_{N,\beta}u(t,x) + \sum_{k=1}^{1/\alpha-1}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k)}t^{-\alpha k}A_{N,\beta}^{\alpha k}f(x)\\ u(0,x) &= f(x).\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The proof is analog to the previous one. Let us first consider equation (49); we have that

$$s^\alpha\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) - s^{\alpha-1}\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^\alpha \hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda)$$

so that

$$\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) = \frac{s^{\alpha-1}}{s^\alpha - \left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^\alpha}\widehat{f}(\lambda) \tag{51}$$

Consider now (50); we have

$$s\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) - \widehat{f}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda) + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} s^{-\alpha k}\left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^{\alpha k}\widehat{f}(\lambda)$$

from which we obtain

$$\left(s-\left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)\right)\hat{\tilde{u}}(s,\lambda)=\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}s^{-\alpha k}\left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^{\alpha k}\widehat{f}(\lambda)$$
$$=\frac{1-\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)}{1-\frac{1}{s^\alpha}\left(\frac{(-i)^N\beta\lambda^N}{N!}\right)^\alpha}\widehat{f}(\lambda)$$

which, compared with (51), implies that the solution of (50) coincides with that of (49), as required.

Appendix A. Fractional derivatives and Bochner's subordination

Fractional derivative. Let $\alpha\in(0,1)$ be a real constant and let us consider the Lévy measure M on the half line defined by

$$M(ds)=\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{ds}{s^{\alpha+1}} \tag{52}$$

It is well known that M is the Lévy measure of an α-stable subordinator ([14]), that is a totally (positively) skewed stable process for which the Lévy-Khinchine formula is written in terms of the Bernstein function

$$x^\alpha=\int_0^\infty(1-e^{-xs})M(ds). \tag{53}$$

Formula (53) is valid for any $x\in\mathbb{C}$, with $Re(x)\geq 0$, in particular for $x=|x|e^{i\theta}$, $\theta\in[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$ it gives $|x|^\alpha e^{i\alpha\theta}=\int_0^\infty(1-e^{-xs})M(ds)$. The representation (53) is therefore associated with the symbol of a positively skewed stable process, say H_t^α, $t\geq 0$. Indeed, for $\lambda>0$, we have that $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda H_t^\alpha}\right]=e^{-t\lambda^\alpha}$ and we say that H_t^α is a stable subordinator of order $\alpha\in(0,1)$. It has non-negative increments and therefore, non-decreasing paths. Thus, H_t^α can be considered as a time change and, given a stochastic process X_t, one can consider the subordinated process $X_{H_t^\alpha}$. We recall that, for $\alpha\uparrow 1$, H_t^α becomes the elementary subordinator t. The density law $h=h(x,t)$ of H_t^α solves the problem

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t h=-\partial_x^\alpha h\\ h(x,0)=\delta(x),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ h(0,t)=0,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}^+\end{cases} \tag{54}$$

where $\partial_x^\alpha=\partial^\alpha/\partial x^\alpha$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative with symbol $(-iy)^\alpha=|y|^\alpha e^{-i\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\frac{y}{|y|}}$:

$$\frac{d^\alpha f}{dx^\alpha}(x):=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int e^{-ixy}(-iy)^\alpha\hat{f}(y)dy,\qquad \hat{f}(y)=\int e^{ixy}f(x)dx.$$

According with (53),

$$\frac{d^\alpha f}{dx^\alpha}(x)=\int_0^\infty(f(x)-f(x-s))M(ds). \tag{55}$$

We also introduce the inverse to a stable subordinator, that is the non-Markovian process

$$L_t^\alpha=\inf\{s\geq 0:\ H_s^\alpha\geq t\},\quad t\geq 0.$$

We have that $\mathbb{P}(L_t^\alpha<x)=\mathbb{P}(H_x^\alpha>t)$ and $\mathbb{E}\exp-\lambda L_t^\alpha=E_\alpha(-\lambda t^\alpha)$ with $\lambda\geq 0$ where

$$E_\beta(z):=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{Ha}\frac{\zeta^{\beta-1}e^\zeta}{\zeta^\beta-z}d\zeta=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\beta k+1)},\quad \Re\{\beta\}>0,\ z\in\mathbb{C} \tag{56}$$

(Ha is the Hankel path) is the Mittag-Leffler function. We observe that, for $\alpha\in(0,1)$, $u(t)=E_\alpha(wt^\alpha)$ with $t>0$, $w>0$, is the fundamental solution to the fractional relaxation equation

$$\mathbf{D}_t^\alpha u(t)-w\,u(t)=0 \tag{57}$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}_t^\alpha u(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t \frac{\partial_s u(s)\, ds}{(t-s)^\alpha} \tag{58}$$

is the so-called Caputo derivative or the Dzerbayshan-Caputo fractional derivative.

Fractional power of a generator. Given a strongly continuous contraction semigroup $T(t)$ on $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ with infinitesimal generator $(A, D(A))$, we write $T(t) = e^{tA}$, $t > 0$. Let us consider the Markov process $X = (\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}; \mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R})$ with

$$T(t)f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x f(X_t), \qquad f \in D(A),$$

where $\mathbb{E}_x \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(X_t) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in \mathcal{A})$. We can define the subordinate semigroup given by the Bochner integral

$$T^\alpha(t)f(x) := \int_0^\infty T(s)f(x)\, h(s,t)ds = \mathbb{E}_x f(X_{H_t^\alpha}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\, t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \tag{59}$$

where $h(s,t) = \mathbb{P}(H_t^\alpha \in ds)/ds$ has been introduced before. According with the representation given by Phillips [61], for $f \in D(A)$, we also define

$$-(-A)^\alpha f(x) := \int_0^\infty (T(s)f(x) - f(x))M(ds). \tag{60}$$

The formal representation e^{tA} of $T(t)$ shows that $A^\alpha = -(-A)^\alpha$ given in (60) is the generator of T^α given in (59) by considering a functional calculus which is referred to as Bochner-Phillips calculus (see for example [12]). The special case we introduced here can be extended to general time-changed processes with infinitesimal generators $-\phi(-A)$ where $\phi : (0,\infty) \mapsto [0,\infty)$ is a Bernstein function, that is the symbol of a time change (a non decreasing process). Then, $-\phi(-A)$ is characterized via resolvents in terms of Dunford-Taylor integrals ([12, 64]). For the generators we considered so far we evidently have that the corresponding (Fourier) symbols are written in terms of Bernstein functions. If $-\Psi$ is the symbol of the generator A, i.e. for f belonging to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ of rapidly decaying $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ functions,

$$Af(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} \Psi(\lambda) \widehat{f}(\lambda) d\lambda$$

then the fractional power of $-A$ can be represented as:

$$(-A)^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} (\Psi(\lambda))^\alpha \widehat{f}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Appendix B. Some estimates

B.1. **Moments of the Poisson distribution.** Let X be a Poisson random variable with parameter λ. Then the moments are given by

$$m_k = \mathbb{E}[X^k] = \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda^l \left\{ {k \atop l} \right\}$$

where $\left\{ {k \atop l} \right\}$ denotes the Stirling polynomials defined by

$$\left\{ {k \atop l} \right\} = \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^{l-j} \binom{l}{j} j^k.$$

In particular $\left\{ {n \atop 1} \right\} = 1$ and $\left\{ {n \atop n} \right\} = 1$ for any $n \geq 1$.
Further, the following estimate holds:

$$m_k = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda^l \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^{l-j} \binom{l}{j} j^k \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda^l \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \binom{l}{j} j^k \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda^l \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \binom{l}{j} l^k = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda^l \frac{1}{l!} 2^l l^k \leq k^k e^{2\lambda}. \tag{61}$$

B.2. **Power law distributions.** Given $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let us consider a sequence of random variables $Y_{[m]}$ with density

$$f_m(y) = c_m \, y^{-\alpha-1} \, \mathbf{1}_{\left(\frac{1}{m}, m^2\right)}(y)$$

where

$$c_m = \frac{\alpha}{m^\alpha (1 - m^{-3\alpha})}.$$

The moments of $Y_{[m]}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y_{[m]}^k] =& c_m \int_{1/m}^{m^2} y^{k-\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}y = \frac{\alpha}{k-\alpha} \, \frac{1}{m^\alpha (1 - m^{-3\alpha})} \left(m^{2(k-\alpha)} - m^{-(k-\alpha)} \right) \\ =& \frac{\alpha}{k-\alpha} m^{2k-3\alpha} \frac{1 - m^{-3(k-\alpha)}}{1 - m^{-3\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

and for $m \to +\infty$ we obtain the esymptotic estimate

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{[m]}^k] \approx m^{2k-3\alpha}. \tag{62}$$

References

[1] S. Albeverio and S. Mazzucchi, *A unified approach to infinite-dimensional integration.* Rev. Math. Phys. 28 (2016), no. 2, 1650005, 43 pp.

[2] H. Allouba and W. Zheng. *Brownian-time processes: The PDE connection and the halfderivative generator.* Ann. Prob., 29, 1780–1795, 2001.

[3] H. Allouba. *Brownian-time processes: The PDE connection II and the corresponding Feynman-Kac formula.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 354, 4627–4637, 2002.

[4] B. Baeumer and M. Meerschaert. *Stochastic solutions for fractional Cauchy problems. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 4 (4): 481 – 500, 2001.

[5] B. Baeumer, M.M. Meerschaert and E. Nane. *Brownian subordinators and fractional Cauchy problems.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 361, 3915–3930, 2009.

[6] B. Baeumer, M. Kovcs and H. Sankaranarayanan. *Higher order Grünwald approximations of fractional derivatives and fractional powers of operators.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367, 813–834, 2015.

[7] M. T. Barlow. Diffusions on fractals. Lectures on Probability Theory and Statistics (Saint-Flour 1995), Volume 1690 of the series Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1060 pp. 1-121, Springer 1998.

[8] A. Balakrishnan. *Fractional powers of closed operators and semigroups generated by them. Pacific J. Math.*, 10:419 – 437, 1960.

[9] E. G. Bazhlekova. *Subordination principle for fractional evolution equations. Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 3:213 – 230, 2000.

[10] S. Beghin, K. Hochberg, E. Orsingher. *Conditional maximal distributions of processes related to higher-order heat-type equations.* Stochastic Process. Appl. 85 (2000), no. 2, 209–223.

[11] L. Beghin and E. Orsingher. *The telegraph process stopped at stable-distributed times and its connection with the fractional telegraph equation. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 6: 187 – 204, 2003

[12] C. Berg, Kh. Boyadzhiev, R. deLaubenfels. *Generation of generators of holomorphic semigroups.* J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 55, 246–269, 1993.

[13] D. Berend and T. Tassa. *Improved bounds on Bell numbers and on moments of sums of random variables.* Probability and Mathematical Statistics 30 (2):,185–205, 2010.

[14] J. Bertoin. Subordinators: examples and applications. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1997)*, 1–91. Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[15] S. Bonaccorsi and S. Mazzucchi, *High order heat-type equations and random walks on the complex plane.* Stochastic Process. Appl. 125 (2), 797–818, 2015.

[16] S. Bonaccorsi, C. Calcaterra and S. Mazzucchi, *An Itô calculus for a class of limit processes arising from random walks on the complex plane.* to appear on Stochastic Process. Appl.

[17] S. Bochner. *Diffusion equation and stochastic processes. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sciences, U.S.A.*, 35:368 – 370, 1949.

[18] K. Burdzy and A. Mądrecki. *An asymptotically* 4*-stable process.* In *Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Jean-Pierre Kahane (Orsay, 1993)*, number Special Issue, pages 97–117, 1995.

[19] R. D. DeBlassie. *Iterated Brownian motion in an open set. Ann. Appl. Probab.* Volume 14, Number 3 (2004), 1529–1558.

[20] Yu. L. Daletsky and S. V. fomin. *Generalized measures in function spaces. Theiry Prob. Appl.* 10 (2), 304-316, 1965.

[21] E. B. Dynkin. Theory of Markov processes. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006.

[22] M. D'Ovidio. *On the fractional counterpart of the higher-order equations.* Statistics & Probability Letters, 81 (12), 1929–1939, 2011.

[23] M. D'Ovidio. *From Sturm-Liouville problems to fractional and anomalous diffusions.* Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 122, (2012), 3513–3544.

[24] W. Feller. *On a generalization of Marcel Riesz' potentials and the semigroups generated by them.* Communications du seminaire mathematique de universite de Lund, tome supplimentaire, 1952.

[25] M. Freidlin. Functional integration and partial differential equations. Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ (1985).

[26] T. Funaki. *Probabilistic construction of the solution of some higher order parabolic differential equation. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 55(5):176–179, 1979.

[27] M. Giona and H. Roman. *Fractional diffusion equation on fractals: one-dimensional case and asymptotic behavior. J. Phys. A*, 25: 2093 – 2105, 1992.

[28] R. Gorenflo and F. Mainardi. *Fractional calculus: integral and differential equations of fractional order.* In *Fractals and fractional calculus in continuum mechanics (Udine, 1996)*, 223–276, CISM Courses and Lectures, 378, Springer, Vienna, 1997.

[29] R. Hilfer. *Fractional diffusion based on Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives.* J. Phys. Chem. B, 104: 3914 – 3917, 2000.

[30] H. Hövel and U. Westphal. *Fractional powers of closed operators.* Studia Math., 42:177 – 194, 1972.

[31] K. J. Hochberg. *A signed measure on path space related to Wiener measure.* Ann. Probab., 6(3):433–458, 1978.

[32] K. J. Hochberg and E. Orsingher. *The arc-sine law and its analogs for processes governed by signed and complex measures.* Stochastic Process. Appl., 52(2):273–292, 1994

[33] M. Kac. *On distributions of certain Wiener functionals.* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **65**, 1-13, 1949.

[34] M. Kac. Integration in function spaces and some of its applications. Lezioni Fermiane. [Fermi Lectures] Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Pisa, 1980.

[35] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama. *On a connection between powers of operators and fractional Cauchy problems.* J. Evol. Equ., 12, 245–265, 2012.

[36] L. Kexue and P. Jigen and J. Junxiong. *Cauchy problems for fractional differential equations with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives.* Journal of Functional Analysis 263 (2), 476–510, 2012.

[37] A. N. Kochubei. *The Cauchy problem for evolution equations of fractional order.* Differential Equations, 25: 967 – 974, 1989.

[38] A. N. Kochubei. *Diffusion of fractional order.* Lecture Notes in Physics, 26: 485 – 492, 1990.

[39] H. Komatsu. *Fractional powers of operators.* Pacific J. Math., 19:285 – 346, 1966.

[40] M. A. Krasnosel'skii and P. E. Sobolevskii. *Fractional powers of operators acting in Banach spaces.* Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR, 129:499 – 502, 1959.

[41] S. Krantz and H. Parks. A Primer of Real Analytic Functions. Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston (2002).

[42] V. J. Krylov. *Some properties of the distribution corresponding to the equation* $\partial u/\partial t = (-1)^{q+1}\partial^{2q}u/\partial x^{2q}$. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 1:760–763, 1960.

[43] A. Lachal. *Distributions of sojourn time, maximum and minimum for pseudo-processes governed by higher-order heat-type equations.* Electron. J. Probab., 8:no. 20, 53 pp. (electronic), 2003.

[44] A. Lachal. *From Pseudorandom Walk to Pseudo-Brownian Motion: First Exit Time from a One-Sided or a Two-Sided Interval.* International Journal of Stochastic Analysis, v. 2014, Article ID 520136, 49 pages, 2014.

[45] D. Levin, T. Lyons. *A signed measure on rough paths associated to a PDE of high order: results and conjectures.* Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 25 (2009), no. 3, 971-994.

[46] M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, and P. Vellaisamy. *Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains.* Ann. Probab., 37 (3): 979 – 1007, 2009.

[47] M.M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, Y. Xiao, *Fractal dimensions for continuous time random walk limits.* Statist. Probab. Lett., 83 (2013) 1083–1093.

[48] M. Meerschaert and H. P. Scheffler. *Limit theorems for continuous time random walks with infinite mean waiting times.* J. Appl. Probab., 41: 623 – 638, 2004.

[49] Meerschaert, M. M. and Straka, P., *Inverse stable subordinators*, Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena 8(2), 1–16, 2013.

[50] K. Miller and B. Ross. An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations. John Wiley & Sons, 1993.

[51] E. Nane. *Higher order PDE's and iterated processes.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360: 2681–2692, 2008.

[52] E. Nane. *Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains: survey of recent results.* Fractional dynamics and control, 185198, Springer, New York, 2012.
[53] R. Nigmatullin. *The realization of the generalized transfer in a medium with fractal geometry.* Phys. Status Solidi B, 133: 425 – 430, 1986.
[54] Ya. Yu. Nikitin and E. Orsingher. *On sojourn distributions of processes related to some higher-order heat-type equations.* J. Theoret. Probab., 13(4):997–1012, 2000.
[55] E. Orsingher. *Processes governed by signed measures connected with third-order ?heat-type? equations.* Lithuanian Math. J., 31(2):220–231, 1991.
[56] K. Nishioka. *Monopoles and dipoles in biharmonic pseudo-process.* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 72(3):47–50, 1996.
[57] K. Nishioka. *Boundary conditions for one-dimensional biharmonic pseudo process.* Electron. J. Probab., 6:no. 13, 27 pp. (electronic), 2001.
[58] E. Orsingher and L. Beghin. *Fractional diffusion equations and processes with randomly varying time.* Ann. Probab. 37 (1), 206–249, 2009.
[59] E. Orsingher and M. D'Ovidio. *Higher-Order Laplace Equations and Hyper-Cauchy Distributions.* J. Theor. Probab., 28, 2015.
[60] E. Orsigher and B. Toaldo, *Pseudoprocesses related to space-fractional higher order heat-type equations.* Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 32, 619–641, 2014.
[61] R. S. Phillips. *On the generation of semigroups of linear operators.* J. Math., 2 (3), 343–369, 1952.
[62] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications.* Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
[63] W. Schneider and W. Wyss. *Fractional diffusion and wave equations.* J. Math. Phys., 30: 134 – 144, 1989.
[64] R.L. Shilling. *On the domain of the generator of a subordinate semigroup*, in: J. Král, et al. (eds.), *Potential Theory-ICPT 94.* Proceedings Internat. Conf. Potential Theory, Kouty (CR), 1994 (de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996), pp. 449-462.
[65] R. L. Schilling, R. Song, Z. Vondracek. Bernstein Functions: Theory and Applications. Walter de Gruyter, 2010
[66] J. Watanabe. *On some properties of fractional powers of linear operators.* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 37:273 – 275, 1961.
[67] W. Wyss. *The fractional diffusion equations.* J. Math. Phys., 27: 2782 – 2785, 1986.
[68] E. Thomas, *Projective limits of complex measures and martingale convergence.* Probab. Theory Related Fields **119** (2001), no.4, 579-588
[69] G. Zaslavsky. *Fractional kinetic equation for Hamiltonian chaos.* Phys. D, 76: 110 – 122, 1994.

Stefano Bonaccorsi
Dipartimento di Matematica, University of Trento Trento, via Sommarive 14, 38123 Povo (Trento), Italy
E-mail address: stefano.bonaccorsi@unitn.it

Mirko D'Ovidio
Dipartimento di Scienze di Base e Applicate per lIngegneria, Sapienza University of Rome, via A. Scarpa 10, 00161 Rome, Italy
E-mail address: mirko.dovidio@uniroma1.it

Sonia Mazzucchi
Dipartimento di Matematica, University of Trento Trento, via Sommarive 14, 38123 Povo (Trento), Italy
E-mail address: sonia.mazzucchi@unitn.it